



Results

Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey

Requested Surveys - Raw Data

10/30/08

County Trends and Land Use

1. Population growth in Sheboygan County over the past 10 years has been estimated by the State Department of Administration to be increasing at a rate of about 6%, growing from a population of 110,462 in 1997 to 117,045 in 2007. Growth in Sheboygan County over the next ten years is projected to be 6% (2010-2020), less than 1% per year. Based on this information, at what rate would you like to see growth occur?:

Number	Answer	Percent
5	Faster than projected	19.2%
10	Present projected rate of growth	38.5%
6	Slower than projected	23.1%
1	No growth	3.8%
2	Don't Know	7.7%
2	No Answer	7.7%
Total		
26		100

2. In the past, Sheboygan County has relied heavily on manufacturing and industry to sustain its economy, but job sections continue to changes in the County. What is your vision for Sheboygan County? What identity would you like Sheboygan County to have 20 years from now? (please rank these choices, 1 being what you would most like to see as the vision for Sheboygan County and 6 as what you would least like to see as the vision for the County)

Answer	1	2	3	4	5	6	Average Score
Tourism Destination	1	3	2	7	9	6	4.2
Industrial (Manufacturing) Center	10	1	6	5	5	2	2.7
Retail Center	0	1	0	4	6	14	5.3
Business/Service (office, healthcare, edu.)	5	6	8	2	3	0	2.6
Agricultural Hub (Base, Center)	3	2	9	4	3	4	3.6
Technology Based Companies	8	12	1	2	1	1	2.2
No Answer	0	0	0	0	0	0	

3. The following types of growth should be encouraged within Sheboygan County:

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Single family residential	42.3%	34.6%	19.2%	0%	0%	0%	3.8%	4.2
	11	9	5	0	0	0	1	26
Multi-family residential	11.5%	46.2%	19.2%	19.2%	0%	0%	3.8%	3.5
	3	12	5	5	0	0	1	26
Rural residential	15.4%	19.2%	30.8%	15.4%	15.4%	0%	3.8%	3.0
	4	5	8	4	4	0	1	26
Hobby farms	19.2%	38.5%	26.9%	7.7%	3.8%	0%	3.8%	3.6
	5	10	7	2	1	0	1	26
Family farms	42.3%	30.8%	11.5%	7.7%	0%	0%	7.7%	4.2
	11	8	3	2	0	0	2	26
Large (over 1000 farms)	15.4%	3.8%	34.6%	15.4%	30.8%	0%	0%	2.6
	4	1	9	4	8	0	0	26
Small businesses	57.7%	38.5%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.5
	15	10	1	0	0	0	0	26
Large retail outlets/centers	3.8%	26.9%	34.6%	11.5%	23.1%	0%	0%	2.8
	1	7	9	3	6	0	0	26
Professional/Office	34.6%	30.8%	23.1%	3.8%	7.7%	0%	0%	3.8
	9	8	6	1	2	0	0	26
Light industry	42.3%	42.3%	7.7%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	4.2
	11	11	2	2	0	0	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data

10/30/08

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Heavy Industry	19.2%	38.5%	19.2%	19.2%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.5
	5	10	5	5	1	0	0	26

4. Sheboygan County Government should play a role in managing land use by:

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Coordinating city, town, village, and county units of government	42.3%	19.2%	15.4%	3.8%	11.5%	0%	3.8%	3.8
	11	5	4	1	3	0	1	26
Purchasing land development rights	19.2%	7.7%	19.2%	19.2%	23.1%	3.8%	7.7%	2.7
	5	2	5	5	6	1	2	26
Undertake county-wide zoning	23.1%	19.2%	19.2%	19.2%	11.5%	0%	7.7%	3.3
	6	5	5	5	3	0	2	26
Regulating through existing codes and regulations	7.7%	38.5%	19.2%	23.1%	0%	3.8%	7.7%	3.2
	2	10	5	6	0	1	2	26
Tightening county zoning codes and regulations	26.9%	11.5%	26.9%	3.8%	15.4%	3.8%	11.5%	3.2
	7	3	7	1	4	1	3	26
Loosening county zoning codes and regulations	7.7%	15.4%	26.9%	11.5%	26.9%	3.8%	7.7%	2.5
	2	4	7	3	7	1	2	26
No role-let the market decide	7.7%	7.7%	19.2%	15.4%	38.5%	7.7%	3.8%	2.0
	2	2	5	4	10	2	1	26

Housing

5. Ideal **urban** neighborhoods would include homes as well as:

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Apartments	30.8%	23.1%	34.6%	7.7%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.7
	8	6	9	2	1	0	0	26
Shopping (groceries/ services/etc.)	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.4
	14	8	4	0	0	0	0	26
Employment	61.5%	23.1%	15.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.5
	16	6	4	0	0	0	0	26
Schools	61.5%	30.8%	0%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	4.4
	16	8	0	1	1	0	0	26
Parks	69.2%	30.8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.7
	18	8	0	0	0	0	0	26
Alleys	26.9%	7.7%	38.5%	19.2%	7.7%	0%	0%	3.3
	7	2	10	5	2	0	0	26
Sidewalks	69.2%	26.9%	11.5%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	4.4
	18	7	3	1	0	0	0	26

6. Other than farm residences, what types of housing would be appropriate in **rural** areas:

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Single Family (2+ Acres)	34.6%	11.5%	19.2%	15.4%	15.4%	0%	3.8%	3.4
	9	3	5	4	4	0	1	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Single Family (Less than 2 Acres)	11.5%	23.1%	19.2%	11.5%	26.9%	0%	7.7%	2.8
	3	6	5	3	7	0	2	26
Conservation subdivisions	50.0%	19.2%	23.1%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	4.1
	13	5	6	2	0	0	0	26
Duplexes	7.7%	23.1%	30.8%	19.2%	19.2%	0%	0%	2.8
	2	6	8	5	5	0	0	26
Multi-Family Apartments	3.8%	7.7%	42.3%	26.9%	19.2%	0%	0%	2.5
	1	2	11	7	5	0	0	26
Mobile Home Parks	3.8%	0%	19.2%	34.6%	42.3%	0%	0%	1.9
	1	0	5	9	11	0	0	26
No New Non-Farm Housing	7.7%	11.5%	34.6%	23.1%	19.2%	3.8%	0%	2.5
	2	3	9	6	5	1	0	26

7. My city/village/township has a need for more:

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Moderately Priced Homes	15.4%	34.6%	30.8%	11.5%	3.8%	0%	3.8%	3.5
	4	9	8	3	1	0	1	26
Low Income/ Subsidized Housing	0%	0%	42.3%	26.9%	26.9%	0%	3.8%	2.2
	0	0	11	7	7	0	1	26
Higher Priced Homes	3.8%	7.7%	50.0%	23.1%	7.7%	0%	7.7%	2.8
	1	2	13	6	2	0	2	26
Multi-Unit Rental Housing	0%	3.8%	38.5%	19.2%	26.9%	0%	11.5%	2.2
	0	1	10	5	7	0	3	26
Manufactured Homes	0%	0%	23.1%	23.1%	50.0%	0%	3.8%	1.7
	0	0	6	6	13	0	1	26
Housing for Seniors	7.7%	23.1%	38.5%	19.2%	7.7%	0%	3.8%	3.0
	2	6	10	5	2	0	1	26

8. The overall quality of housing in my city/village/township is good.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
3.8%	57.7%	3.8%	15.4%	3.8%	0%	3.8%	3.4
2	15	2	4	2	0	1	26

9. Government provides financial assistance for lower income residents to maintain and improve their homes. Please rate your opinion for each of the following levels of government providing this service:

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Federal Government	11.5%	42.3%	15.4%	15.4%	0%	7.7%	7.7%	3.3
	3	11	4	4	0	2	2	26
State Government	3.8%	34.6%	26.9%	11.5%	3.8%	7.7%	11.5%	3.0
	1	9	7	3	1	2	3	26
County Government	7.7%	19.2%	15.4%	26.9%	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	2.5
	2	5	4	7	4	2	2	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data

10/30/08

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Local Government	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	19.2%	26.9%	7.7%	7.7%	2.3
	2	4	4	5	7	2	2	26

Utilities and Community Facilities

10. New homes and businesses should be encouraged:

Circle the number that best represents your opinion

a. Primarily in communities where sewer and water are available.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
53.8%	34.6%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.8%	4.4
14	9	1	1	0	0	1	26

b. Next to communities where sewer and water could be extended.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
23.1%	42.3%	19.2%	3.8%	7.7%	0%	3.8%	3.7
6	11	5	1	2	0	1	26

c. Anywhere in the County, with or without sewer and water services.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
11.5%	11.5%	26.9%	11.5%	38.5%	0%	0%	2.5
3	3	7	3	10	0	0	26

11. Future boundaries should be established for municipal water and sanitary sewer systems.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
38.5%	23.1%	19.2%	15.4%	0%	3.8%	0%	3.7
10	6	5	4	0	1	0	26

12. The boundaries described in the previous question should be (*Check one*):

Number	Answer	Percent
4	Very inflexible, essentially stopping development outside of the boundary.	15.4%
19	Somewhat flexible, allowing for some development outside of the boundary.	73.1%
1	Very flexible, allowing anyone who asks for sewer and water to get it wherever they are.	3.8%
2	No Answer	7.7%
Total		
26		100%

13. Stormwater runoff is a problem in my community.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
19.2%	26.9%	26.9%	23.1%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.3
5	7	7	6	1	0	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

14. Sheboygan County should explore sustainable energy sources:

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
65.4%	30.8%	0%	0%	3.8%	0%	0%	4.5
17	8	0	0	1	0	0	26

15. Should Sheboygan County and local governments encourage, discourage, or remain neutral regarding each of the following sustainable energy sources:

	ENCOURAGE (3)	REMAIN NEUTRAL (2)	DISCOURAGE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
Solar Energy	88.5%	11.5%	0%	0%	0%	2.9
	23	3	0	0	0	26
Wind Energy	69.2%	26.9%	3.8%	0%	0%	2.7
	18	7	1	0	0	26
Tidal/Wave Energy	46.2%	34.6%	7.7%	11.5%	0%	2.2
	12	9	2	3	0	26
Biomass Energy	84.6%	11.5%	3.8%	0%	0%	2.8
	22	3	1	0	0	26
Hydroelectric Energy	61.5%	26.9%	11.5%	0%	0%	2.5
	16	7	3	0	0	26

16. The County should maintain and improve existing conditions and structures at the Sheboygan County Marsh Park:

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
38.5%	42.3%	19.2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.2
10	11	5	0	0	0	0	26

17. Further development of the cultural, educational, and recreational facilities at the Sheboygan County Marsh Park is needed:

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
30.8%	26.9%	34.6%	3.8%	0%	3.8%	0%	3.7
8	7	9	1	0	1	0	26

18. The concept of an observation tower at the Sheboygan County Marsh Park would be an added benefit to the County:

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
26.9%	46.2%	15.4%	3.8%	7.7%	0%	0%	3.8
7	12	4	1	2	0	0	26

19. One recreational program or facility that my family or I would like to see provided somewhere in Sheboygan County is: (List One)

See Appendix A

Agricultural, Natural, and Cultural Resources

20. Local government should address the issue of development in productive agricultural regions by: (rate each)

a. Preserving farmland at all costs.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
11.5%	42.3%	30.8%	11.5%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.5
3	11	8	3	1	0	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

b. Protecting productive farmland, but allowing growth in area not suitable for agricultural use.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
34.6%	53.8%	3.8%	3.8%	3.7%	0%	0%	4.1
9	14	1	1	1	0	0	26

c. Conservation by purchasing development rights of land.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
19.2%	11.5%	34.6%	7.7%	19.2%	3.8%	3.8%	2.9
5	3	9	2	5	1	1	26

d. Not protecting farmland; let owners develop as they see fit.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
3.8%	0%	26.9%	15.4%	53.8%	0%	0%	1.8
1	0	7	4	14	0	0	26

21. The agricultural economy of Sheboygan County should be protected by having growth directed into and around existing developed areas.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
42.3%	30.8%	15.4%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	3.8%	4.1
11	8	4	1	1	0	1	26

22. My city/village/township should make an effort to identify and protect the following:

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
Woodlands	53.8%	26.9%	15.4%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	4.3
	14	7	4	1	0	0	0	26
Wetlands and Floodplains	53.8%	38.5%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.5
	14	10	2	0	0	0	0	26
Open Spaces	53.8%	34.6%	7.7%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	4.4
	14	9	2	1	0	0	0	26
Lakes, Rivers, Streams	65.4%	30.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.6
	17	8	1	0	0	0	0	26
Endangered Species Habitat	57.7%	26.9%	7.7%	0%	7.7%	0%	0%	4.3
	15	7	2	0	2	0	0	26
Parkland, Existing & Future	61.5%	38.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.6
	16	10	0	0	0	0	0	26
Historic and Cultural Sites	65.4%	30.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.6
	17	8	1	0	0	0	0	26

23. The following represent a threat to the **quality** of Sheboygan County's **groundwater**: (rate each one)

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
Residential Runoff (lawn and garden fertilizer)	46.2%	34.6%	15.4%	0%	0%	3.8%	0%	4.2
	12	9	4	0	0	1	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
Construction Site Runoff	26.9%	42.3%	19.2%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.8%	3.8
	7	11	5	1	0	0	1	26
Agricultural Pesticides & Fertilizers	46.2%	34.6%	7.7%	3.8%	0%	7.7%	0%	4.0
	12	9	2	1	0	2	0	26
Manure & Liquid Waste (whey, food processing) Application	46.2%	34.6%	7.7%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	4.0
	12	9	2	1	1	1	0	26
Commercial/Industrial Stormwater Runoff & Infiltration	34.6%	57.7%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.8%	0%	4.2
	9	15	1	0	0	1	0	26
Failing Septic Systems	19.2%	50.0%	7.7%	7.7%	0%	15.4%	0%	3.3
	5	13	2	2	0	4	0	26
Sewage Holding Tank & Septic Tank Land Spreading	23.1%	38.5%	11.5%	3.8%	0%	19.2%	3.8%	3.2
	6	10	3	1	0	5	1	26
Improperly Abandoned Wells	19.2%	26.9%	26.9%	3.8%	0%	23.1%	0%	2.9
	5	7	7	1	0	6	0	26
Industrial Waste Land Spreading	34.6%	23.1%	11.5%	11.5%	0%	19.2%	0%	3.2
	9	6	3	3	0	5	0	26
Municipal Waste and Sludge Land Spreading	19.2%	26.9%	19.2%	11.5%	0%	23.1%	0%	2.8
	5	7	5	3	0	6	0	26

24. Local government should be involved with the protection of **groundwater quality** and **drinking water** supplies in the following ways:

a. Regulate land uses that would adversely impact groundwater

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
53.8%	38.5%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	4.4
14	10	1	1	0	0	0	26

b. Provide information only.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
7.7%	11.5%	15.4%	30.8%	30.8%	0%	3.8%	2.3
2	3	4	8	8	0	1	26

c. No involvement

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
0%	0%	11.5%	19.2%	69.2%	0%	0%	1.4
0	0	3	5	18	0	0	26

Results
 Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
 Requested Surveys - Raw Data
 10/30/08

25. The following represent a threat to the **quality of Lake Michigan** and the coastal features adjacent to the Lake:

a. Storm sewer discharge into Lake Michigan.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
57.7%	26.9%	11.5%	0%	0%	3.8%	0%	4.3
15	7	3	0	0	1	0	26

b. Residential runoff (lawn and garden fertilizer)

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
42.3%	46.2%	11.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.3
11	12	3	0	0	0	0	26

c. Coastal Bluff Erosion

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
34.6%	30.8%	19.2%	7.7%	0%	7.7%	0%	3.7
9	8	5	2	0	2	0	26

d. Coastal dune alterations/development on dunes

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
30.8%	38.5%	15.4%	0%	0%	15.4%	0%	3.5
8	10	4	0	0	4	0	26

e. Development in the "coastal corridor" (East of I-43)

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
23.1%	23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	0%	19.2%	3.8%	3.0
6	6	4	4	0	5	1	26

f. Development in the Sheboygan River Basin that drains into Lake Michigan.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
34.6%	30.8%	15.4%	3.8%	0%	11.5%	3.8%	3.6
9	8	4	1	0	3	1	26

g. Invasive/exotic species

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
53.8%	38.5%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.5
14	10	2	0	0	0	0	26

26. I have concerns about the following as they affect **surface water quality** in the County and Lake Michigan:

a. Draining/filling of wetlands for development

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
46.2%	23.1%	19.2%	3.8%	0%	7.7%	0%	3.9
12	6	5	1	0	2	0	26

Results
 Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
 Requested Surveys - Raw Data
 10/30/08

b. Erosion from construction sites/mud tracking on roads

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
23.1%	30.8%	34.6%	3.8%	0%	7.7%	0%	3.5
6	8	9	1	0	2	0	26

c. Failing septic systems/illegal pumping

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
38.5%	30.8%	11.5%	3.8%	0%	15.4%	0%	3.6
10	8	3	1	0	4	0	26

d. Increased runoff/flash flooding from urban areas

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
38.5%	38.5%	11.5%	0%	0%	7.7%	3.8%	4.0
10	10	3	0	0	2	1	26

e. Over application of road salts

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
19.2%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	0%	3.8%	0%	3.6
5	10	8	2	0	1	0	26

f. Runoff from automobile products such as gas, oil, antifreeze, etc.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
26.9%	34.6%	30.8%	0%	0%	7.7%	0%	3.7
7	9	8	0	0	2	0	26

g. Runoff of pet wastes

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
26.9%	26.9%	34.6%	7.7%	0%	3.8%	0%	3.6
7	7	9	2	0	1	0	26

h. Waste materials being dumped down storm sewer drains

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
57.7%	23.1%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	11.5%	0%	4.0
15	6	1	1	0	3	0	26

i. Farming too close to streams

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
30.8%	42.3%	19.2%	3.8%	0%	3.8%	0%	3.9
8	11	5	1	0	1	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

j. Land application of septic/sewage sludge

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
30.8%	26.9%	19.2%	7.7%	0%	11.5%	3.8%	3.5
8	7	5	2	0	3	1	25

k. Manure runoff from barnyards/winter spreading on fields

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
34.6%	26.9%	19.2%	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	3.6
9	7	5	1	1	2	1	26

l. Over application of fertilizer/manure

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
34.6%	30.8%	15.4%	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	3.7
9	8	4	1	1	2	1	26

27. The best use of land along river and stream corridors within rural areas of the County should be:

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Residential Development	0%	15.4%	15.4%	26.9%	38.5%	3.8%	0%	2.0
	0	4	4	7	10	1	0	26
Agriculture	0%	23.1%	26.9%	23.1%	23.1%	3.8%	0%	2.4
	0	6	7	6	6	1	0	26
Recreational	26.9%	42.3%	15.4%	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	0%	3.7
	7	11	4	1	2	1	0	26
Commercial	0%	3.8%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%	3.8%	0%	1.6
	0	1	4	6	14	1	0	26
Leave it in its natural state	53.8%	26.9%	11.5%	0%	0%	3.8%	3.8%	4.3
	14	7	3	0	0	1	1	26

Commerce and Employment

28. What types of new development do you believe would be good for Sheboygan County to attract? (rate each one)

a. Retail Development (shopping / restaurants / groceries / etc.)

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
11.5%	38.5%	26.9%	19.2%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.3
3	10	7	5	1	0	0	26

b. Service Development (hotels / beauty salons / health clubs / etc.)

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
11.5%	15.4%	53.8%	15.4%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.2
3	4	14	4	1	0	0	26

c. Office Development (banks / insurance / lawyer / etc.)

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
23.1%	30.8%	34.6%	7.7%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.6
6	8	9	2	1	0	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

d. Industrial Development (manufacturer / etc.)

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
30.8%	34.6%	26.9%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.8
8	9	7	1	1	0	0	26

e. Technology Based Development (information technology/pharmaceuticals/communciation/etc.)

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
61.5%	30.8%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.5
16	8	2	0	0	0	0	26

f. Tourism Development (cultural/recreation/nature based/etc.)

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
38.5%	34.6%	23.1%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	4.1
10	9	6	1	0	0	0	26

29. Sheboygan County should be actively involved in economic development

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
38.5%	53.8%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	4.3
10	14	1	1	0	0	0	26

30. There are enough job opportunities in Sheboygan County to make a living

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
3.8%	11.5%	30.8%	19.2%	30.8%	3.8%	0%	2.3
1	3	8	5	8	1	0	26

31. Tax dollars should be used to attract or develop new jobs in the County

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
19.2%	57.7%	15.4%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.8
5	15	4	1	1	0	0	26

Transportation

32. Local roads in my city/village/township are in good condition

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
0%	53.8%	3.8%	38.5%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.1
0	14	1	10	1	0	0	26

33. Sheboygan County Highways are in good condition

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
7.7%	61.5%	19.2%	7.7%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.6
2	16	5	2	1	0	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

34. State of Wisconsin Highways are in good condition

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
7.7%	69.2%	11.5%	11.5%	0%	0%	0%	3.7
2	18	3	3	0	0	0	26

35. Government needs to provide:

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
More bicycle routes	42.3%	23.1%	30.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
	11	6	8	1	0	0	0	26
More pedestrian routes	34.6%	34.6%	23.1%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
	9	9	6	2	0	0	0	26

36. Due to the Non-motorized Transportation Pilot Program (NMTTP) Planned Facilities and Programs are you more likely to:

	YES	NO	DON'T KNOW	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
Bicycle	57.7%	30.8%	11.5%	0%	
	15	8	3	0	26
Walk	61.5%	23.1%	15.4%	0%	
	16	6	4	0	26

37. Do you bike primarily for:

	TRANSPORTATION	RECREATION	EXERCISE	COMBINATION OF REASONS	DO NOT BIKE	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
Bicycle	3.8%	19.2%	11.5%	30.8%	34.6%	0%	
	1	5	3	8	9	0	26

38. Do you walk primarily for:

	TRANSPORTATION	RECREATION	EXERCISE	COMBINATION OF REASONS	DO NOT WALK	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
Walk	0%	7.7%	26.9%	65.4%	0%	0%	
	0	2	7	17	0	0	26

39. Do you think the NMTTP will provide important long-term benefits to County residents?

YES	NO	NO OPINION	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
84.6%	0%	11.5%	3.8%	
22	0	3	1	26

40. Government should provide connections to regional trail systems (i.e. Ice Age Trail, Old Plank Road Trail)

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
46.2%	34.6%	11.5%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	4.2
12	9	3	2	0	0	0	26

41. I use the Interurban Trail for:

COMMUTING	RECREATION	EXERCISE	COMBINATION OF REASONS	NOT AT ALL	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
0%	19.2%	7.7%	15.4%	57.7%	0%	
0	5	2	4	15	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data

10/30/08

a. If you use the Interurban Trail, how often do you use it?

3 OR MORE TIMES PER WEEK	1-2 TIMES PER WEEK	2-4 TIMES A MONTH	LESS THAN 2 TIMES A MONTH	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
0%	3.8%%	15.4%	23.1%	57.7%	
0	1	4	6	15	26

42. I use the Old Plank Road Trail for:

COMMUTING	RECREATION	EXERCISE	COMBINATION OF REASONS	NOT AT ALL	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
3.8%	11.5%	23.1%	23.1%	38.5%	0%	
1	3	6	6	10	0	26

a. If you use the Old Plank Road Trail, how often do you use it?

3 OR MORE TIMES PER WEEK	1-2 TIMES PER WEEK	2-4 TIMES A MONTH	LESS THAN 2 TIMES A MONTH	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
3.8%	3.8%	19.2%	34.6%	38.5%	
1	1	5	9	10	16

43. Existing County non-motorized transportation facilities should be maintained and improved by (1 being most suitable, 7 being least suitable):

Answer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Average Score
Volunteer Programs/Organization (advocacy groups, community service workers)	4	7	4	5	0	4	2	3.4
Community Partnerships (Adopt-a-Trail, public agencies working with private companies)	8	5	11	1	0	1	0	2.3
The County	6	4	2	2	3	7	2	3.8
Grants	3	4	2	9	7	1	0	3.6
Donations	3	2	5	2	8	6	0	4.1
User Fees	2	0	1	4	3	1	15	5.7
Individual Municipalities	1	6	1	2	3	5	8	4.8

44. All other existing non-motorized transportation facilities should be maintained and improved by (1 being most suitable, 7 being least suitable):

Answer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	No Answer	Average Score
Volunteer Programs/Organization (advocacy groups, community service workers)	4	8	3	3	3	2	2	1	3.3
Community Partnerships (Adopt-a-Trail, public agencies working with private companies)	7	4	9	3	0	2	0	1	2.6
The County	4	3	3	1	4	6	4	1	4.3
Grants	4	3	3	8	5	2	0	1	3.5
Donations	2	3	6	2	7	5	0	1	4.0
User Fees	2	0	1	4	2	3	13	1	5.6
Individual Municipalities	3	5	0	3	4	3	7	1	4.5

45. Once the non-motorized transportation facilities are in place, I am more likely to bicycle or walk:

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
30.8%	23.1%	26.9%	15.4%	0%	3.8%	0%	3.6
8	6	7	4	0	1	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

46. How would you rate the following transportation-related services in Sheboygan County:

	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	POOR (2)	VERY POOR (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Road maintenance	7.7%	57.7%	26.9%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.6
	2	15	7	1	1	0	0	26
Snow plowing	19.2%	42.3%	26.9%	11.5%	0%	0%	0%	3.7
	5	11	7	3	0	0	0	26
Bus/Taxi/etc.	19.2%	3.8%	26.9%	23.1%	3.8%	19.2%	3.8%	2.4
	5	1	7	6	1	5	1	26
Bicycle/ Pedestrian Facilities	3.8%	34.6%	34.6%	7.7%	3.8%	11.5%	3.8%	2.9
	1	9	9	2	1	3	1	26
Transportation for seniors	3.8%	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	11.5%	38.5%	0%	1.8
	1	4	6	2	3	10	0	26
Transportation for Disabled	3.8%	15.4%	26.9%	3.8%	7.7%	38.5%	3.8%	1.8
	1	4	7	1	2	10	1	26
Airport Facilities	11.5%	34.6%	23.1%	3.8%	3.8%	23.1%	0%	2.8
	3	9	6	1	1	6	0	26

Issues and Opportunities

47. Do you feel the quality of life in Sheboygan County over the past 10 years has:

IMPROVED	DECLINED	REMAINED THE SAME	NO OPINION	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
34.6%	42.3%	11.5%	7.7%	3.8%	
9	11	3	2	1	26

48. How would you rate the following "quality of life" issues in your community?

	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	POOR (2)	VERY POOR (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Air Quality	11.5%	46.2%	15.4%	19.2%	7.7%	0%	0%	3.3
	3	12	4	5	2	0	0	26
Drinking Water	19.2%	50.0%	23.1%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
	5	13	6	2	0	0	0	26
Surface Water Quality	3.8%	46.2%	23.1%	23.1%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.2
	1	12	6	6	1	0	0	26
Public Safety	15.4%	46.2%	30.8%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.7
	4	12	8	1	1	0	0	26
Employment	0%	38.5%	42.3%	7.7%	11.5%	0%	0%	3.1
	0	10	11	2	3	0	0	26
Housing	0%	57.7%	38.5%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	3.5
	0	15	10	1	0	0	0	26
Recreation	30.8%	38.5%	30.8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
	8	10	8	0	0	0	0	26
Transportation	0%	30.8%	53.8%	15.4%	0%	0%	0%	3.2
	0	8	14	4	0	0	0	26
Cultural Resources	7.7%	50.0%	34.6%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	3.6
	2	13	9	2	0	0	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

49. How would you rate each of the following services:

	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	POOR (2)	VERY POOR (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Museum/ Historical Sites	23.1%	53.8%	23.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
	6	14	6	0	0	0	0	26
Agricultural UW-Extension Services	26.9%	26.9%	15.4%	7.7%	0%	23.1%	0%	3.0
	7	7	4	2	0	6	0	26
Planning & Zoning	15.4%	23.1%	30.8%	7.7%	3.8%	19.2%	0%	2.8
	4	6	8	2	1	5	0	26
Natural Resources Protection	7.7%	42.3%	30.8%	7.7%	0%	11.5%	0%	3.2
	2	11	8	2	0	3	0	26
Parks/ Recreation	23.1%	53.8%	19.2%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
	6	14	5	1	0	0	0	26
Fire Protection	23.1%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	0%	0%	0%	3.8
	6	10	8	2	0	0	0	26
Law Enforcement	15.4%	53.8%	19.2%	7.7%	0%	0%	3.8%	3.8
	4	14	5	2	0	0	1	26
Youth Activities	3.8%	42.3%	23.1%	11.5%	0%	19.2%	0%	2.8
	1	11	6	3	0	5	0	26
Senior Services/ Activities	3.8%	30.8%	26.9%	15.4%	0%	23.1%	0%	2.5
	1	8	7	4	0	6	0	26
Social Services	7.7%	38.5%	23.1%	3.8%	0%	23.1%	3.8%	2.8
	2	10	6	1	0	6	1	26
Nursing Home Services	3.8%	26.9%	19.2%	15.4%	3.8%	30.8%	0%	2.2
	1	7	5	4	1	8	0	26
Sanitary Sewer	11.5%	53.8%	19.2%	11.5%	0%	3.8%	0%	3.5
	3	14	5	3	0	1	0	26
Municipal Sewer	11.5%	57.7%	15.4%	11.5%	0%	3.8%	0%	3.6
	3	15	4	3	0	1	0	26
Stormwater Sewer	0%	42.3%	26.9%	15.4%	0%	11.5%	3.8%	2.9
	0	11	7	4	0	3	1	26
Garbage Collection	15.4%	50.0%	19.2%	7.7%	3.8%	3.8%	0%	3.5
	4	13	5	2	1	1	0	26
Ambulance	23.1%	26.9%	23.1%	11.5%	3.8%	11.5%	0%	3.2
	6	7	6	3	1	3	0	26
Recycling	11.5%	26.9%	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%	0%	0%	3.2
	3	7	10	4	2	0	0	26
Library	30.8%	46.2%	19.2%	0%	0%	3.8%	0%	4.0
	8	12	5	0	0	1	0	26
Schools	19.2%	42.3%	26.9%	0%	0%	11.5%	0%	3.5
	5	11	7	0	0	3	0	26
Nonmotorized Transportation /Trail Development	23.1%	50.0%	26.9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.0
	6	13	7	0	0	0	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

50. The budget forecast for all levels of government over the next 2-5 years will continue to be tight and further reductions will be necessary to keep the tax rate down. In light of that, what services would you be willing to sacrifice to keep government spending down?

	STRONGLY WILLING (5)	WILLING (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	UNWILLING (2)	STONGLY UNWILLING (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Museum/ Historical Sites	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	19.2%	15.4%	0%	3.8%	3.0
	2	8	6	5	4	0	1	26
Agricultural UW-Extension Services	11.5%	19.2%	42.3%	15.4%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	3.1
	3	5	11	4	1	1	1	26
Planning & Zoning	3.8%	15.4%	19.2%	23.1%	34.6%	3.8%	0%	2.2
	1	4	5	6	9	1	0	26
Natural Resources Protection	3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	42.3%	0%	0%	2.2
	1	4	4	6	11	0	0	26
Parks/ Recreation	3.8%	11.5%	34.6%	30.8%	19.2%	0%	0%	2.5
	1	3	9	8	5	0	0	26
Fire Protection	0%	11.5%	19.2%	30.8%	38.5%	0%	0%	2.0
	0	3	5	8	10	0	0	26
Law Enforcement	0%	11.5%	7.7%	34.6%	46.2%	0%	0%	1.8
	0	3	2	9	12	0	0	26
Snow Plowing	0%	11.5%	23.1%	38.5%	26.9%	0%	0%	2.2
	0	3	6	10	7	0	0	26
Road Maintenance	0%	7.7%	26.9%	38.5%	23.1%	0%	3.8%	2.2
	0	2	7	10	6	0	1	26
Youth Activities	3.8%	15.4%	42.3%	30.8%	7.7%	0%	0%	2.8
	1	4	11	8	2	0	0	26
Senior Services/ Activities	0%	19.2%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	3.8%	0%	2.6
	0	5	10	8	2	1	0	26
Social Services	3.8%	3.8%	42.3%	34.6%	15.4%	0%	0%	2.5
	1	1	11	9	4	0	0	26
Nursing Home Services	3.8%	15.4%	26.9%	46.2%	7.7%	0%	0%	2.6
	1	4	7	12	2	0	0	26
Sanitary Sewer	0%	3.8%	19.2%	53.8%	19.2%	3.8%	0%	2.0
	0	1	5	14	5	1	0	26
Municipal Sewer	0%	7.7%	11.5%	53.8%	23.1%	3.8%	0%	2.0
	0	2	3	14	6	1	0	26
Stormwater Sewer	3.8%	3.8%	23.1%	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%	0%	2.1
	1	1	6	12	4	2	0	26
Garbage Collection	3.8%	11.5%	19.2%	42.3%	23.1%	0%	0%	2.3
	1	3	5	11	6	0	0	26
Ambulance	15.4%	3.8%	19.2%	50.0%	11.5%	0%	0%	2.6
	4	1	5	13	3	0	0	26
Recycling	0%	11.5%	30.8%	26.9%	26.9%	0%	3.8%	2.3
	0	3	8	7	7	0	1	26
Library	0%	23.1%	42.3%	19.2%	15.4%	0%	0%	2.7
	0	6	11	5	4	0	0	26
Schools	0%	19.2%	15.4%	30.8%	34.6%	0%	0%	2.2
	0	5	4	8	9	0	0	26
Nonmotorized Transportation/ Trail Development	11.5%	30.8%	15.4%	19.2%	19.2%	0%	3.8%	3.0
	3	8	4	5	5	0	1	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

51. Which of the following services would you be willing to share with other units of government such as another town, city, village or county?

	STRONGLY WILLING (5)	WILLING (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	UNWILLING (2)	STONGLY UNWILLING (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Agricultural UW-Extension Services	30.8% 8	42.3% 11	11.5% 3	3.8% 1	3.8% 1	0% 0	7.7% 2	4.0 26
Planning & Zoning	19.2% 5	38.5% 10	15.4% 4	15.4% 4	3.8% 1	0% 0	7.7% 2	3.6 26
Natural Resources Protection	30.8% 8	53.8% 14	0% 0	3.8% 1	7.7% 2	0% 0	3.8% 1	4.0 26
Parks/Recreation	34.6% 9	50.0% 13	0% 0	3.8% 1	3.8% 1	0% 0	7.7% 2	4.2 26
Fire Protection	26.9% 7	34.6% 9	7.7% 2	15.4% 4	11.5% 3	0% 0	3.8% 1	3.5 26
Law Enforcement	34.6% 9	30.8% 8	7.7% 2	15.4% 4	7.7% 2	0% 0	3.8% 1	3.7 26
Dispatch Services	38.5% 10	38.5% 10	7.7% 2	11.5% 3	0% 0	0% 0	3.8% 1	4.1 26
Snow Plowing	23.1% 6	50.0% 13	11.5% 3	0% 0	11.5% 3	0% 0	3.8% 1	3.8 26
Road Maintenance	26.9% 7	46.2% 12	11.5% 3	7.7% 2	3.8% 1	0% 0	3.8% 1	3.9 26
Youth Activities	34.6% 9	50.0% 13	3.8% 1	3.8% 1	3.8% 1	0% 0	3.8% 1	4.1 26
Senior Services/Activities	30.8% 8	50.0% 13	3.8% 1	7.7% 2	3.8% 1	0% 0	3.8% 1	4.0 26
Social Services	26.9% 7	38.5% 10	15.4% 4	3.8% 1	7.7% 2	0% 0	7.7% 2	3.8 26
Nursing Home Services	34.6% 9	38.5% 10	15.4% 4	0% 0	7.7% 2	0% 0	3.8% 1	4.0 26
Sanitary Sewer	26.9% 7	42.3% 11	15.4% 4	3.8% 1	3.8% 1	3.8% 1	3.7% 1	3.8 26
Municipal Sewer	26.9% 7	42.3% 11	15.4% 4	15.4% 1	15.4% 1	15.4% 1	15.4% 1	3.8 26
Stormwater Sewer	26.9% 7	42.3% 11	23.1% 6	0% 0	0% 0	3.8% 1	3.8% 1	3.9 26
Garbage Collection	30.8% 8	46.2% 12	11.5% 3	7.7% 2	0% 0	0% 0	0% 1	4.0 26
Ambulance	38.5% 10	38.5% 10	3.8% 1	15.4% 4	0% 0	0% 0	3.8% 1	4.0 26
Recycling	38.5% 10	46.2% 12	11.5% 3	0% 0	3.8% 1	0% 0	0% 0	4.2 26
Nonmotorized /Transportation/ Trail Development	34.6% 9	46.2% 12	7.7% 2	3.8% 1	7.7% 2	0% 0	0% 0	4.0 26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

52. Do you support the Sheboygan County Stewardship Fund to conserve Sheboygan County's lakes, streams, wetlands, and wildlife habitat, and to develop additional trails and parks?

YES	NO	DO NOT KNOW	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
84.6%	11.5%	3.8%	0%	
22	3	1	0	26

- a. If yes, what level of annual County funding would you support for the County Stewardship Fund?

<\$100,000	\$100,000 - \$250,000	\$250,000 - \$500,000	>\$500,000	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
26.9%	11.5%	15.4%	15.4%	26.9%	
7	3	4	4	7	26

53. Sheboygan County faces an aging population. By 2020, it is estimated that 30% of the County's population will be older than 55 years of age. Are the services and facilities provided for the aging population adequate, or is there a need in some area? Please rate the adequacy of the following services and facilities:

	ADEQUATE (3)	SOMEWHAT ADEQUATE (2)	INADEQUATE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Nutrition/Home Meals (Meals on Wheels)	19.2%	53.8%	0%	26.9%	0%	1.7
	5	14	0	7	0	26
Home Health Care	23.1%	26.9%	23.1%	26.9%	0%	1.5
	6	7	6	7	0	26
Adult Day Care Facilities	3.8%	34.6%	26.9%	34.6%	0%	1.1
	1	9	7	9	0	26
Hospitals	50.0%	23.1%	11.5%	15.4%	0%	2.1
	13	6	3	4	0	26
Nursing Homes	26.9%	19.2%	34.6%	19.2%	0%	1.5
	7	5	9	5	0	26
Residential/Assisted Living Facilities	19.2%	30.8%	23.1%	26.9%	0%	1.4
	5	8	6	7	0	26
Other Housing Options	11.5%	38.5%	19.2%	30.8%	0%	1.3
	3	10	5	8	0	26
Hospice	46.2%	26.9%	0%	26.9%	0%	1.9
	12	7	0	7	0	26
Funeral Services	50.0%	26.9%	0%	23.1%	0%	2.0
	13	7	0	6	0	26
Senior Centers	23.1%	34.6%	19.2%	23.1%	0%	1.6
	6	9	5	6	0	26
Support Groups	30.8%	30.8%	15.4%	23.1%	0%	1.7
	8	8	4	6	0	26
Transportation	15.4%	30.8%	34.6%	19.2%	0%	1.4
	4	8	9	5	0	26
Mental Health Services	30.8%	26.9%	19.2%	23.1%	0%	1.7
	8	7	5	6	0	26
Legal Services	26.9%	34.6%	11.5%	26.9%	0%	1.6
	7	9	3	7	0	26
Financial Assistance	15.4%	38.5%	19.2%	26.9%	0%	1.4
	4	10	5	7	0	26
Respite (Caregiver "time off")	11.5%	30.8%	23.1%	34.6%	0%	1.2
	3	8	6	9	0	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

54. Government should provide services that the private sector can provide.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
3.8%	26.9%	23.1%	19.2%	23.1%	0%	3.8%	2.7
1	7	6	5	6	0	1	26

55. Please rate the following statement as it applies to the following units of government:
 "Overall, the services provided and the related taxes are reasonable"

	STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
Town/Village/ City Government	7.7%	46.2%	19.2%	11.5%	11.5%	3.8%	0%	3.2
	2	12	5	3	3	1	0	26
Sheboygan County	0%	61.5%	7.7%	23.1%	7.7%	0%	0%	3.2
	0	16	2	6	2	0	0	26
State of Wisconsin	0%	34.6%	15.4%	34.6%	15.4%	0%	0%	2.7
	0	9	4	9	4	0	0	26
Federal	0%	23.1%	11.5%	46.2%	19.2%	0%	0%	2.4
	0	6	3	12	5	0	0	26
School	0%	42.3%	19.2%	26.9%	7.7%	3.8%	0%	2.9
	0	11	5	7	2	1	0	26

56. In the State of Wisconsin, 60 of 72 counties have enacted a county sales tax. The University of Wisconsin-Extension, Local Government Center, has estimated that a 0.5% (one-half of one percent) county sales tax would generate approximately \$6.2 million in 2007 in Sheboygan County. Of this \$6.2 million, out-of-county visitors would pay approximately \$1.8 million.

Sheboygan County should implement a 0.5% county sales tax to provide general property tax relief.

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
19.2%	15.4%	11.5%	19.2%	34.6%	0%	0%	2.7
5	4	3	5	9	0	0	26

57. If you disagree with the previous statement, would you support enacting a 0.5% sales tax that uses the \$4.4 million generated by county residents for direct property tax relief, with the remaining \$1.8 million to be used specifically for tourism related programs and activities such as road maintenance and other infrastructure items?

STRONGLY AGREE (5)	AGREE (4)	NEUTRAL (3)	DISAGREE (2)	STONGLY DISAGREE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/TOTAL
19.2%	15.4%	7.7%	11.5%	19.2%	0%	26.9%	3.1
5	4	2	3	5	0	7	26

58. My preference for development in **rural** Sheboygan County by the year 2030 is: (Check one)

Number	Answer	Percent
12	Preservation of the existing rural landscape with limited amounts of new development.	46.2%
11	Preservation of the existing rural landscape with moderate amounts of new development.	42.3%
1	Unrestricted development in rural areas.	3.8%
1	Maintain current levels of development.	3.8%
1	No Answer	3.8%
Total		
26		100

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

59. My preference for development in **urban** Sheboygan County by the year 2030 is: (Check one)

Number	Answer	Percent
4	Redevelopment and reconstruction with no outward expansion of existing neighborhoods.	15.4%
17	Some outward expansion of existing neighborhoods with a focus on redevelopment and reconstruction.	65.4%
1	Unrestricted growth of the urban areas with a focus on outward expansion.	3.8%
2	Managed growth along major highway corridors.	7.7%
1	Both Some outward expansion of existing neighborhoods with a focus on redevelopment and reconstruction. And Managed growth along major highway corridors.	3.8%
1	No Answer	3.8%
Total		
26		100

60. As Sheboygan County and local government plan for future development, should they discourage, encourage or remain neutral regarding each of the following:

	ENCOURAGE (3)	REMAIN NEUTRAL (2)	DISCOURAGE (1)	DON'T KNOW (0)	NO ANSWER	AVERAGE SCORE/ TOTAL
Environmental Preservation	88.5%	11.5%	0%	0%	0%	2.9
	23	3	0	0	0	26
Hunting/Fishing Opportunities	61.5%	34.6%	3.8%	0%	0%	2.6
	16	9	1	0	0	26
Recreation Opportunities	80.8%	19.2%	0%	0%	0%	2.8
	21	5	0	0	0	26
Farmland Preservation	73.1%	19.2%	3.8%	0%	0%	2.7
	19	5	1	0	0	26
Industrial Development	53.8%	34.6%	11.5%	0%	0%	2.4
	14	9	3	0	0	26
Residential Development	26.9%	65.4%	7.7%	0%	0%	2.2
	7	17	2	0	0	26
Retail Development	38.5%	50.0%	11.5%	0%	0%	2.3
	10	13	3	0	0	26
Tourism Facilities	61.5%	34.6%	3.8%	0%	0%	2.6
	16	9	1	0	0	26
Vacation Homes	7.7%	53.8%	34.6%	3.8%	0%	1.7
	2	14	9	1	0	26

61. How much are you willing to increase your property tax dollars to:

	\$5 PER \$1000	\$2 PER \$1000	\$1 PER \$1000	\$0.50 PER \$1000	NOT AT ALL	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
Preserve/Protect Farmland/Agricultural	7.7%	19.2%	11.5%	19.2%	30.8%	11.5%	
	2	5	3	5	8	3	26
Preserve/Protect Natural Resources	15.4%	19.2%	7.7%	26.9%	15.4%	15.4%	
	4	5	2	7	4	4	26
Preserve/Protect Cultural Resources	3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	38.5%	11.5%	
	1	4	4	4	10	3	26
Provide Shared Services	11.5%	7.7%	7.7%	26.9%	30.8%	15.4%	
	3	2	2	7	8	4	26

Results
 Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
 Requested Surveys - Raw Data
 10/30/08

	\$5 PER \$1000	\$2 PER \$1000	\$1 PER \$1000	\$0.50 PER \$1000	NOT AT ALL	NO ANSWER	TOTAL
Provide Nursing Home Services	3.8%	7.7%	11.5%	26.9%	38.5%	11.5%	
	1	2	3	7	10	3	26
Improve Air Quality	7.7%	11.5%	7.7%	23.1%	30.8%	19.2%	
	2	3	2	6	8	5	26
Parks/Recreation	7.7%	19.2%	7.7%	23.1%	26.9%	15.4%	
	2	5	2	6	7	4	26
Maintain and Develop Non-Motorized Transportation Facilities	11.5%	15.4%	0%	23.1%	34.6%	15.4%	
	3	4	0	6	9	4	26

62. What single improvement would be beneficial to the future of Sheboygan County?

See Appendix B

63. Please share with us the best part of living in your city/village/township.

See Appendix C

Demographics

64. How long have you been a resident or owned land in Sheboygan County?

Length Of Residency		
	%	Number
Less than 1 Year	3.8%	1
1-5 Years	15.4%	4
6-10 Years	15.4%	4
11-20 Years	11.5%	3
Over 20 Years	53.8%	14
No Answer	0.0%	0
Total	100	26

65. Choose one of the following:

a I live in the City Village Town of : _____, within Sheboygan County.

Community	Responses	Percent Of Responses	Percent Of County Population (2000 Us Census)
City of Plymouth	2	7.7%	6.9
City of Sheboygan	9	34.6%	45.1
City of Sheboygan Falls	1	3.8%	6
Adell	0	0%	0.5
Cascade	0	0%	0.6
Cedar Grove	0	0%	1.7
Glenbeulah	0	0%	0.3
Elkhart Lake	0	0%	0.9
Howards Grove	1	3.8%	2.5
Kohler	0	0%	1.7
Oostburg	0	0%	2.4
Random Lake	0	0%	1.4

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

Community	Responses	Percent Of Responses	Percent Of County Population (2000 Us Census)
Waldo	0	0%	0.4
Greenbush	1	3.8%	2.5
Herman	0	0%	1.8
Holland	0	0%	2.1
Lyndon	0	0%	1.3
Lima	0	0%	2.6
Mitchell	0	0%	1
Mosel	0	0%	0.7
Plymouth	2	7.7%	2.8
Rhine	4	15.4%	2
Russell	1	3.8%	0.4
Scott	0	0%	1.6
Sheboygan (Town)	1	3.8%	5.2
Sheboygan Falls (Town)	0	0%	1.5
Sherman	0	0%	1.3
Wilson	1	3.8%	2.9
No Answer	0	0%	NA
City	2	7.7%	NA
Village	0	0%	NA
Town	1	3.8%	NA
Total	26		100

b. I live outside Sheboygan County, but own land in the City Village Town of : _____, within Sheboygan County.

Community	Responses
None	0

66. I currently own rent my residence.

Own/Rent		
	%	Number
Own	88.5%	23
Rent	11.5%	3
No Answer	0%	0
Total	100	26

67. What is your gender?

Gender		
	%	Number
Male	57.7%	15
Female	38.5%	10
No Answer	3.8%	1
	100	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

68. What is your age?

Age		
	%	Number
Under 18	0%	0
18-24	0%	0
25-34	15.4%	4
35-44	15.4%	4
45-54	26.9%	7
55-64	38.5%	10
65-74	3.8%	1
75 and Older	0%	0
No Answer	0%	0
Total	100	26

69. How many people live in your household, including yourself? _____ Number under 18 years old? _____

People in Household		
	%	Number
5	7.7%	2
4	3.8%	1
3	15.4%	4
2	57.7%	15
1	11.5%	3
0	37.8%	0
No Answer	3.8%	1
Total	100	26

People in Household Under 18 Years Old		
Number of Ppl.	%	Number
3	3.8%	1
2	7.7%	2
1	7.7%	2
0	38.5%	10
No Answer	42.3%	11
Total	100	26

70. What is your employment status?

Employment Status		
	%	Number
Employed	80.8%	21
Unemployed	0%	0
Self-Employed	7.7%	2
Student	0%	0
Retired	11.5%	3
Do Not Work	0%	0
No Answer	0%	0
Total	100	26

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

71. What is your field of employment?

Field of Employment		
	%	Number
Agriculture	0%	0
Wholesale Trade	0%	0
Government	26.9%	7
Retail Trade	3.8%	1
Services	11.5%	3
Manufacturing	3.8%	1
Construction/Mining	3.8%	1
Services & Manufacturing	3.8%	1
Services & Construction	3.8%	1
Other	30.8%	8
No Answer	11.5%	3
Total	100	26

72. What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Education Attainment		
	%	Number
Some High School	0%	0
High School	23.1%	6
Junior College	0%	0
Technical College	3.8%	1
College	34.6%	9
Post Graduate	38.5%	10
No Answer	0%	0
Total	100	26

73. What is your approximate gross (before tax) yearly family income?

Household Income		
	%	Number
Under \$15,000	0%	0
\$15,001-\$29,999	0%	0
\$30,000-\$49,999	19.2%	5
\$50,000-\$99,999	57.7%	15
\$100,000 or More	15.4%	4
No Answer	7.7%	2
Total	100	26

Appendix D includes other comments written on the surveys.

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

Appendix A:

Question # 19

One recreational program or facility that my family or I would like to see provided somewhere in Sheboygan County is: (List One)

1. I think there are currently plenty of options
2. More beach front development for rec. purposes
3. Continue Interurban & Old Plank Trails to Sheboygan & FDL Cty
4. Curling club
5. Indoor tennis for the public
6. Bike/running trails
7. Intergenerational recreation center – Seniors & kids playing together Wii games; pottery center; rug weaving; kitchen baking bread, cookies; meals together
8. Trails (walking only!) Through the Marsh area's
9. Dog run
10. Large indoor swimming pool & large exercise place
11. We have great recreational opportunities
12. New Sheboygan County Fair Park South of Plymouth
13. Bike lane on every paved road – centrally located major exhibition hall

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

Appendix B:

Question # 62

What single improvement would be beneficial to the future of Sheboygan County?

1. Better paying, stable employment
2. Try to attract more professional larger businesses move away from industrial jobs.
3. Increase the tax base thru planned growth NOT Increases in tax rates & reassessments.
4. Attracting more stable "white-collar" jobs.
5. Continue to build relationships with County municipalities
6. Keep residential development in urban & smaller urban areas control.
7. Provide safe paths for bicycles within cities & towns. Places to lock bicycles when shopping. Shuttle bus between towns reduce dependence on cabs
8. Maintain "safe communities
9. Modern "Green" Sheboygan County Fair Park with facilities that are multi-use and available year-round
10. Get rid of the idea of "we always did it this way" philosophy.
11. More manufacturing jobs.
12. Better recycling programs, going green.
13. Invest in county windpower generation.
14. Non-motorized transportation development
15. Create new manufacturing jobs
16. Don't provide low income housing – work to own a home
17. Preserve/protect farmland/ag only if in an ecological way overall quality of life/improve schools!!!
18. Shared services w/all not just the City of Sheboygan

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Requested Surveys - Raw Data
10/30/08

Appendix C:

Question #63

Please share with us the best part of living in Sheboygan County.

1. Cleanliness, quiet.
2. Being by the lake
3. Lake Michigan
4. Overall quality of life.
5. Hiking trails at the Marsh
6. It is very peaceful living near Kohler Andrae State Park
7. The people
8. Wide range of work, school, and cultural activities
9. Beautiful rural landscape – Kettle Moraine Forest nearby Lake Michigan!
10. Clean – German Heritage – conservative values rural landscaping / recreational areas/ sparse development.
11. The general safety in urban & rural areas.
12. Parks & lake
13. Living on the lake
14. Location – near Milwaukee, Green Bay, Appleton
15. Beautiful surroundings & Peace
16. Appreciation of the remaining open spaces, farm fields, public lands, and the lakes
17. Friendly people/good neighbors
18. Friends & neighbors
19. Quiet rural living within easy travel distance to anything I need.
20. Quiet peaceful township
21. Public resources – library, parks
22. Small town feel w/big town amenities. Lake Michigan.

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Random Sample

Appendix D: Other Comments

#3

- Need a good balance with no one area laden too heavily with situations that increase nuisance or downgrades a neighborhood. Heavy industry in the right locations would be ok
- Brownfield Redevelopment – 5

#4

- Uphold current ordinances that are meant to protect neighborhoods

#8

- Certain areas have incompatible zoning – ie Hvy Ind surrounded by Residential

#9

- Makes no sense already point want to provide? Should provide?

#15

Solar Energy

- If located properly

20

Conservation by purchasing development rights of land

- Don't know

#22

- Endangered species habitat

#26

Draining/filling of wetlands for development

- w/out mitigation

#28

Industrial Development (manufacturer/etc.)

- Small

#31

- High paying

#35

- Provide safe routes to school, past trucks within city

#44

- Not clear??

#49

Law Enforcement

- Some good some poor

Ambulance Services

- OCA

•

#50

- Privatize! Garbage Collection & Ambulance Services

#51

- Share costs also

Agricultural/UW-Extension Services

- N.A.

#52

- What ever it can afford w/out raising taxes!
- ?

Results
Sheboygan County Comprehensive Planning Survey
Random Sample

#54

- Yes if services benefit the greater good, but now always
- It depends

#55

- 29%

Town Village/City Government

- Wilson

#57

- After reading this question – I will disagree w/#56

#61

Improve Air Quality

- Not at all – Industry should pay
- Schools - \$1 per \$1000

#71

- Sales/marketing
- Financial sector
- Health care

#73

- Sorry

Additional Comments

- It's good to encourage jobs & business growth, but make sure you are protecting existing neighborhoods. When Heavy Industry is next to Residential this negatively impacts quality of life. Less sprawl is needed if you take care of the residential areas where your greatest resources live (the people). Semi-trucks do not belong on narrow residential streets. Diesel exhaust does not belong in neighborhoods where young families are trying to get at start. Please encourage industrial growth &/or expansion in appropriate areas, not in the middle of a city (ie. Plymouth) create win/win situations. Thank you for allowing citizen input.
- Mark F. Pfaller # Town of Rhine
- Laurelle Lubotsky, PO Box 96, Greenbush, Wisc. 53026 1-920-526-3367